about it after the meeting. He apparently decided I wasn't playing fair, and the paper avalanche started again. I called Mr. Kay and said why all the paperwork, I thought we had an agreement. Mr. Kay said that I shouldn't have filed anything, and as a result of this, he would crush me like a cockroach.

Then, one of Mr. Kay's customers, Lightning Taxi, called and threatened to kill me. I filed a Police report about the threat. Mr. Kay also called my mother, Anna, in 1992 and told her the following: "You tell your son to get off the 800 channel or I will make him. Tell him this is Mr. Kay." My mother hung up on him. My mother has been afraid for my life ever since!

Sometime soon after that three of my repeaters were stolen off of Saddle Peak. I put the word out to as many people as the I could that my repeaters had been stolen, and Dan Magro, of Portable Clinic, a radio shop, called me and said he had bought 3 repeaters very cheaply and they appeared to be mine. I got my repeaters back and reimbursed Magro. Also, Mr. Kay intimidated one of our end users. The customer became terrified to the them and cancelled his service.

In October 1992 I was licensed on frequency 852.6875. But the frequency was taken up by Hessman Security, WNNE 920, and I couldn't load customers on that frequency. I did research on the Hessman application, and found that it had Mr. Kay's phone number and showed Mr. Kay as the "preparer." I called another enduser, Perfection Airconditioning, and asked if they were still using their radios. The user said no, and sold the radios to us

and assigned the license to me, which allowed us to have loading capacity on the repeater. James Kay attacked the application, but the FCC eventually granted the assignment.

My father filed for another frequency, 854.0875 MHz, for Goodwill Industries. Goodwill was already on another channel on another repeater but was getting bad service and their license was expiring. They renewed and we added them to the "Lance Best" SMR. But we apparently let the renewal lapse, and Marc Sobel got it. Mr. Sobel was the primary user and we were the secondary user, and James Kay later made interference complaints against us although Sobel was interfering with us. Marc Sobel filed a complaint with the FCC Los Angeles Office saying that Goodwill was jamming the channel. But when I checked I found that someone else was using Goodwill's DPL code, so I changed the code and then the jamming stopped.

James Kay jammed one of our customers, Slingshot Messenger Service, and even sent them a copy of our bankruptcy filing and other negative things about us. The customer stayed with us, however, and the interference ended in May 1994.

Marc Sobel, but I believe it was really James Kay, filed a finders preference against the Lance Best station saying that it was not constructed on time on the Oat and Saddle Peaks. At this time, the FCC has said that the Saddle Peak should come down, but I am opposing that and the matter is still pending. The petition regarding Oat Mountain is also still pending.

I suspect other practices are used by James Kay as well.

Kay, in cooperation with several lawyers, specifically Brown and Schwanninger, intersperse any and all applications I have made to the FCC with constant petitions to the Commission not only forcing me into a constant battle with paper but also over-loading the FCC, all for the purpose of preventing me and others from being heard

Prior to October 1992, the FCC licensed end-users. I believe that James Kay would license end users on his 800 MHz repeaters, but actually have them fill out applications for 470-512 MHz operation and that's where he would have them operate. One example of this is the Van Diest Brothers company. I also believe that James Kay "parks" a lot of licenses in employee names.

I have read the foregoing statement consisting of 5 pages.

I have made any corrections and I have initialed each page at the top and the bottom. I have been permitted to add any information I believe is necessary, and by signing it I swear under penalty of perjury before a duly licensed notary public that this statement is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

signed by: Harold Puch Date: 1-18-95

CALIFORNIA ALL PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGEMEN I								
State of California								
County of California								
On January 18, 1995 before me, Ernest S. Ignacio, Notary Public personally Name, Title of Officer - i.e. "Jane Doe, Notary Public" Harold Pick								
appeared								
personally known to me OR proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.								
WITNESS my hand and official seal.								
Signature OFFICIAL NOTARY SEAL ERNEST S IGNACIO Notary Public — California LOS ANGELES COUNTY My Comm. Exp. JUN 09, 1995								
(Seal)								
(ATTENTION NOTARY: Although the information requested below is OPTIONAL, it could prevent fraudulent attachment of this certificate to another document.) CAPACITY CLAIMED INDIVIDUAL								
BY SIGNER: CORPORATE OFFICER: Title(s)								
PARTNERS: Limited General								
☐ ATTORNEY-IN-FACT								
TRUSTEE(S)								
☐ GUARDIAN/CONSERVATOR								
OTHER:								
SIGNER IS REPRESENTING:								
THIS CERTIFICATE MUST BE ATTACHED TO THE DOCUMENT DESCRIBED BELOW:								
Title of the Document: Statement of Harold Pick to the Federal Communications Commission								
Date of Document: 1-18-95 Signer(s) Other Than Name Above: none								
Number of Pages:								

SV 3717 4/94

COMPUTER CONSULTANTS & SYSTEMS

Mr. Terry Fishel Chief, Land Mobile Branch -Federal Communication Commission 1270 Fairfield Road Gettysburg, PA 17326

29 July 1991

Dear Mr. Fishel -

On Saturday, 27 July 1991, at approximately 5 PM, two or more persons entered the building on Saddle Peak, owned by Meridian Communications [23501 Park Scrento, Calabasas, California 91302] in which our repeaters are housed, removed i.e. stole three of them and vandalized the others. At this moment we are off the air and unable to fulfill our obligations to our clients.

In view of the fact that we have been threatened with having our equipment destroyed by one Will Martin [933 5 Greenwood Avenue, Montebello, California] and one Van Williams [KHM Communications, 1630 Ocean Fark Blvd., Santa Monica, California 90405] (see enclosures) we believe that these two or either are responsible for the theft and vandalism, especially since the only equipment stolen and demolished was ours. However we have no concrete proof.

We have reported the matter to the Sheriff in Malibu and to the FBI. We do not know yet what the Sheriff can do; we were told at the FBI that any action need be taken by the FCC or the FCC need make a request for action to/by the FBI. We believe the matter to be of importance to the FCC and the FBI because the stolen and vandatized equipment is used under Federal License granted us and because mis-use of the equipment can be dangerous.

The value of the equipment stolen and vandalized is about \$ 10,000.00. Any help you can extend us would be much appreciated.

Sincerely -

/ac

FBI - West Los Angeles Office

Detective Benedict, L.A. County Sheriff's Office, Malibu, CA

The Messrs. Reichler, Meridian Communications

COMMUNICATIONS CONSULTANTS & SYSTEMS

P.D. Box 3032 Santa Monica, California 90408 'Phone: 213/454-9561 & 451-5550 FAX: 454-9561

ORIGINAL 6 IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT OF SANTA MONICA JUDICIAL DISTRICT 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 --000--HON. REX H. MINTER, JUDGE DIVISION I 11 12) NO. SA007943 13 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 14 PLAINTIFF, (FELONY) 15 VS. FILED 16 JAMES ALLEN BECK. OCT 04 1991 17 DEFENDANT. 18 AV RITA TUCKER DEPUTE 19 --000--20 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF CERTIFIED PLEA 21 THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1991 22 --000--23 APPEARANCES: 24 FOR THE PEOPLE: JEFFREY SEMOW DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 25 FOR THE DEFENDANT: OMAR HAZEL 26 DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 27 P&S: 10-17-91 COLLEEN RILEY

28

DEPT: WEST D

OFFICIAL REPORTER

September 26, 1991

--000--

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Are you James A. Beck? THE COURT:

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Let the record reflect we have no shorthand reporter, so these proceedings are being electronically recorded pursuant to 980.5 of the California Rules of Court.

Would counsel give their appearances for the record, please.

Omar Hazel, Deputy Public Defender appearing MR. HAZEL: for Mr. Beck.

Jeffrey Semow, Deputy District Attorney. MR. SEMOW:

Would one of you state the disposition that THE COURT: you've worked out.

MR. SEMOW: Your Honor, the Defendant is on felony probation for 487.3 and -- and/or 496 to Judge Perez. Although we have not gotten the okay from Judge Perez yet, the DA in that court, Mr. Barshop, and I have agreed with Mr. Hazel to the following disposition.

The Defendant to plead guilty in this case to counts 2 and 3 with the understanding that he will serve no immediate term in state prison. He's pleading to both of those charges as felonies, however, he will be placed on felony probation, not proceedings suspended but state prison suspended. The suspended state prison sentence will be the low term in state prison required by law for count 2 which is four years.

The conditions of probation will be as follows.

Number one, the Defendant is to serve a full year in the county jail with a waiver of any presentence credits that he might otherwise be entitled to, and a waiver of the right to county parole. So that he will serve as close to the full year that the law permits.

As further --

THE COURT: What about the early-release program?

MR. SEMOW: No early-release program.

THE COURT: How are you going to control the sheriff on that?

MR. SEMOW: I can't bind that but I'm asking the Court as a condition of probation to recommend against that, and the Defendant has agreed.

The Defendant will be required to make restitution in the amount of \$2500.00 to the victim, Mr. Pick, and to the other victim in this case who is actually listed as a witness but is involved as a victim. The Defendant will make -- that is Van Williams -- the Defendant will make either restitution in the amount of \$4250.00 or will sign over to Mr. Williams title to the car that was used in this case by the Defendant. Specifically that is a --

THE DEFENDANT: Nineteen eighty-six Chevrolet Caprice.

MR. SEMOW: -- 1986 Chevrolet Caprice. As a further condition of probation the Defendant will be ordered to stay away and refrain from any type of contact whatsoever with any of the victims and witnesses in this case. He will be ordered to cooperate in a program of psychological or

1 5

2 3 4

8 9

6

7

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

19

18

20 21

22

24

23

25

26 27

28

psychiatric counseling. He will be ordered not to use or possess any dangerous or deadly weapon nor to possess any police equipment, uniform or paraphernalia nor in any way to identify himself or impersonate a police officer. And, of course, any other conditions of probation that the Superior Court sees fit to impose.

THE COURT: Would he be prohibited from working in any status as a security officer?

MR. SEMOW: I can't -- that should be a condition of probation. I assume that he will agree to that as well. Thank you for suggesting that, your Honor.

THE COURT: If those terms are acceptable to the Defendant, you may take the waivers and plea.

MR. SEMOW: Thank you.

Is James Allen Beck your true name?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

MR. SEMOW: Mr. Beck, you are charged in this Information SA007943 with count 1, the felony of being an ex-con, that is a convicted felon in possession of a firearm or firearms. In count 2 with 120 -- excuse me -- 12280 of the Penal Code, possession of an assault rifle, and in count 3 with receiving stolen property, a violation of Penal Code Section 496, all three counts being felonies.

Your attorney has indicated that you wish to plead guilty as charged in counts 2 and 3. Have you discussed fully the facts underlying all three charges and any possible defenses that you might have to them, and is this what you wish to do?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

MR. SEMOW: You understand, sir, that -- the terms and conditions of the plea as I have explained them thus far, and as Judge Minter has explained them?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

MR. SEMOW: You understand that this means that if you violate any of the terms or conditions of your probation, that you will be sent to state prison automatically for four years?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: Do you understand also that although this plea of guilty -- this case settlement involves the disposition also of the case for which you are on probation to Judge Perez, that is that you will receive no immediate time, additional time in custody as a result of your violation of -- let me start that over.

You understand that a plea of guilty here today is an admission that you violated parole or probation in any other case that you may be on parole or probation in.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: And you understand that that entitles the Judge to whom you're on probation, or in the case of a parole violation, the California Department of Corrections to revoke your parole or probation, and in addition to the time you have coming to you in this case cause you to serve whatever time you have coming to you in that case as well. You understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

MR. SEMOW: You understand that although we will recommend to Judge Perez that you serve no additional time in custody as a result of being in violation of probation in that case, that he has the right to refuse to go along with that deal. You do understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: You understand that if he does, that the People will -- then both sides have the right to withdraw from this plea agreement and you will go back to square one and either renegotiate another plea with the People that is acceptable to Judge Perez, or the matter will be set for trial.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: And you agree to that.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

MR. SEMOW: Now, if for any reason you are later sentenced to state prison in this case, such as for a violation of probation, after you serve your state prison sentence which will be four years you'll be on parole for a period of three or five years, again with rules and conditions that you have to follow. If you violate any of those rules or conditions your parole will be revoked, you'll go back to prison for up to a year for each parole violation and you can have your parole extended an additional year because of that. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: You also --

THE COURT: May I ask you a question?

MR. SEMOW: Yes, your Honor? 1 THE COURT: What happens --- he's going to be sentenced 2 to state prison, suspended, and then will do the county 3 time. What if he violates his probation and he goes to state prison? You've indicated that it was going to be a 5 6 waiver by him of any good time, work time. Does that apply 7 to his state prison sentence when he goes there, if and when 8 he does? 9 MR. SEMOW: No, your Honor. That is only a benefit that he gives up in return for the leniency of the county jail 10 11 sentence. 12 THE COURT: It only applies to county jail and not to 13 state prison. 14 MR. SEMOW: Yes. The state prison sentence would 15 otherwise operate according to the law. 16 THE COURT: He would get all of his good time, work time 17 and presentence time credited on a state prison sentence. 18 MR. SEMOW: Yes. 19 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed. 20 MR. SEMOW: Now, if you are not a citizen of the United 21 States your plea of guilty here will result in your being 22 deported and not being allowed ever to re-enter this 23 country. Do you understand that? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. MR. SEMOW: You understand everything that I've said so 25 26 far.

28 M

THE DEFENDANT:

27

MR. SEMOW: And you wish to go ahead with this

Yes, I do.

settlement of the case, is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

: 10

MR. SEMOW: Now, every defendant who pleads guilty in a criminal case has certain constitutional rights that he's automatically giving up thereby. I've got to advise you of those rights and make sure that you understand them, and that you give them up in order to plead guilty.

First of all, you have the right to a trial by judge or jury to determine the issue of your guilt or innocence. A jury trial, as you know, is one where 12 people are selected from the community by both sides. They hear all the evidence, and all 12 people must agree that the evidence presented by the Prosecution proves you guilty beyond any reasonable doubt before you can be convicted of any offense. By pleading guilty, of course, you do away with the need for such a trial and you are giving up your right to a trial by judge or jury. Do you understand and give up that right so that you can plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: Counsel join?

MR. HAZEL: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: If you were to have a trial rather than plea guilty you would have the right through your lawyer to confront and cross examine the witnesses who testify against you. By pleading guilty and doing away with the need for a trial you are giving up that right. Do you understand and give up that right so that you can plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

MR. SEMOW: Counsel join?

MR. HAZEL: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: You would also have the right, if you had a trial, to call witnesses on your own behalf if there were any, and to use the subpoena power of the Court to compel them to be present if necessary. By pleading guilty an doing away with the need for a trial you're giving up the right to confront and cross examine witnesses as well. Do you understand and give up that right also?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: Counsel join?

MR. HAZEL: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: You have a right throughout these proceedings against self-incrimination which means that no one can force you to say anything for or against yourself. That right stays with you through your trial if you have a trial, meaning that if you decide to be silent and not testify no one can use your silence as evidence of your guilt. It is the obligation or burden of the Prosecution to present evidence that proves you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

By pleading guilty, of course, you're no longer being silent and you're giving up your right against self-incrimination. Do you understand and give up that right also so that you can plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: Counsel join?

MR. HAZEL: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: You have a right also, Mr. Beck, to a preliminary hearing. That's-what you're here for today. At a preliminary hearing the People present evidence, your attorney cross examines the witnesses called by the People, calls you or your witnesses if you and he see fit, and the Judge makes a determination as to whether or not there is probable cause or strong suspicion to believe that you're guilty of the offense as charged. If there is such a strong suspicion, he holds you to answer to the Superior Court for trial. If not, the case stops here and you go home.

When you plead guilty, of course, you are giving up your right to a preliminary hearing as well. Do you understand and give up that right so that you can plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

MR. SEMOW: Counsel join?

MR. HAZEL: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: Have any promises been made against you or -- excuse me -- have any promises been made to you other than those stated here in open court on the record, or any threats been made against you in order to get you to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

MR. SEMOW: You're doing this then, sir, freely and voluntarily and because in truth and in fact you are guilty, is that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

MR. SEMOW: That means, Mr. Beck, that you did knowingly

34-9

have in your possession a working assault rifle, that is a 1 .223 Caliber Colt AR-15 on September 12, 1991. And also 2 that you knowingly possessed and sold the radio equipment, 3 that is the repeaters owned by Harold Pick knowing that they had been stolen from him. 5 THE COURT: Would you state or spell the name of that 7 person. That's Harold, H-A-R-O-L-D, Pick, P-I-C-K. 8 MR. SEMOW: 9 Thank you, your Honor. Is that, in fact, what you did, Mr. Beck? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is. 11 MR. SEMOW: Counsel agree that there is a factual basis 12 13 for the plea? MR. HAZEL: 14 Yes. May I take the plea, your Honor? 15 MR. SEMOW: 16 THE COURT: You may. How then do you plead to count 2 of the 17 MR. SEMOW: Information, violation of Penal Code Section 12280(a)(1), a 18 felony, that is possession of an assault rifle, Mr. Beck, 19 20 guilty or not guilty? Guilty. 21 THE DEFENDANT: MR. SEMOW: And how do you plead to count 3, a felony, 22 receiving stolen property in violation of Penal Code Section 23 496(1), guilty or not guilty? 24 25 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 26 MR. SEMOW: Counsel join in the pleas? MR. HAZEL: Yes. 27 Did you stipulate to a factual basis? 28 THE COURT:

He did. MR. SEMOW: 1 THE COURT: The Court accept the pleas and the waivers 2 and finds that they were voluntarily made, and made with 3 knowledge and understanding and that there is a factual basis for the charges and the pleas. 5 The Defendant having entered his pleas, they'll be certified to the Superior Court. And the Probation Department is directed to conduct an investigation and 8 9 render its report to the Superior Court no later than the 17th day of October, at 8:30 a.m. And you want this to go 10 11 to Judge Perez's court, don't you? It might as well. 12 MR. SEMOW: 13 THE COURT: That's B, is it not? 14 MR. SEMOW: Ultimately he is going to be the deciding 15 factor as to whether or not this --THE COURT: Is it C or B? 16 MR. HAZEL: It's B. THE COURT: B. Do you work in that court sometimes? MR. HAZEL: D. 20 THE COURT: Where do you want me to send it? MR. HAZEL: Well, it doesn't make any difference to me. 22 The probation --It should go to C, your Honor, because Mr. MR. SEMOW: -- because ultimately, it is going to go to Judge Perez for the probation violation and Mr. Barshop is a party -- who is

the DA in there -- is a party to this plea agreement.

is already set for October the 8th. So that issue will

12

MR. HAZEL: I can tell you this; the probation violation

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

26

27

already be decided by the time this gets to Superior Court.

MR. SEMOW: I think this should probably be sent to Superior Court for arraignment on that date, or for sentencing on that date.

THE COURT: It's a question of where to put it. His court is D, that's where I'd normally put it.

MR. SEMOW: Hey, whatever everybody wants --

THE COURT: We'll put it in D and let them transfer it around if they want. West D which is located in this building. Further proceedings will take place in Superior Court on that date and at that time.

Defendant's remanded until he posts \$17,500.00 bail.

> (Proceedings in the above-entitled matter were concluded.)

DECLARATION OF TRANSCRIBER

I, Glenda Chevalier, a duly designated transcriber, do hereby declare and certify under penalty of perjury that I have transcribed the portion of Tape 3, which was duly recorded in the Municipal Court of Santa Monica Judicial District, Division I, County of Los Angeles, State of California, in the matter of The People of the State of California vs. James A. Beck, on the 26th day of September, 1991, and that the foregoing pages constitute a complete and accurate transcription of the aforementioned tape.

Transcriber

Dated this 3rd day of October, 1991

- 4	IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT OF SANTA MONICA JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	HON. REX H. MINTER, DIVISION NO. I
4	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
5	Plaintiff,) No. 5A007943
6	vs.
7	•
8	James A. Beck
9	Defendant.)
10	
11	I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 26 day of September
12	19-9/, no official or temporary Shorthand Reporter was
13	available to report the testimony and proceedings conducted
14	before Division I of the above-entitled Court, therefore,
15	pursuant to Rule 980.5 of the California Rules of
16	court <u>Callegn Rifey</u> was assigned to
17	electronically record the testimony and proceedings contained
18	herein and he/she did act as monitor and did electronically.
19	record said testimony and proceedings according to the
20	directives contained in said Rule of Court and he/she Wall
21	further directed by me to reduce said recording to a printed
22	record.
23	Dated: 9/210/91 Portfolimite
24	Judge
25	
26	DECLARATION OF REPORTER/MONITOR
27	I, Colleen River, the undersigned, do
28	hereby declare that on 9/06/91

designated by the Court as Monitor to electronically record the testimony and proceedings herein. The nature of the proceedings and the case number was recorded on each reel and the daily log incorporated herein by reference. The recording equipment was functioning normally, and all of the proceedings in open court were recorded, except for such matters as were expressly directed to be "off the record", or as otherwise specified.

I declare that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the tape recording of said proceedings which is a full, true and correct statement of said testimony and proceedings.

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AT SANTA MONICA, CALIFORNIA, THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

DATE: 9/26/91 Celler Lil

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES





BARRY J. NIDORF

oct 16 ladi

PLEASE CONTACT ME IMMEDIATELY SO I MAY OBTAIN YOUR STATEMENT RECARDING THIS CASE.

Chief Probation Officer	REGARDING THIS CASE.					
Mr. HAROID CCS Inc P.O. Box ? Santa Monu	3032 La , CA	90402		H (THIS IS N	I SENTENC EARING OT A SUBPO	
;	Offense(s) Co	mmitted by:	ames	allen	Beck	
•	Offense(s):	1201	A) P	<u>C</u>		•
	Date: 6-8	1-91	• _	SA007	143	
Dear		•				
The above-named defenda or you are the next of kin of the	nt has been fou mentioned vict	nd guilty of a cr	ime in whic	h you have bee	n mentioned a	s a victim,
The above-named defenda victim, or you are the next of kin	nt has <u>not</u> been n of the mentio	found guilty of ned victim, but	a crime in v pre-trial he	which you have caring is schedu	been mention led as noted b	ed as a elow.
Pursuant to Section 1191, sentencing of the above-named d	efendant to be	held on:	•		a hearing on th	he
	- +	ober 17				
•		0.0				
4_		S ANGELES				
	_1	25 MAIN ST	reet san	TA, SANTA	MONICA, C.	A. 9040
	÷O.t	ivisjon		2 Department	West -	
If any of your property wa Please contact the County Clerk (4:30 p.m. for information. Unclamust be presented before that time You do not have to appear personally express your views or	Criminal Divisio aimed property ne. <u>in</u> Court but ye	n Exhibit Section is disposed of significant to the section of the	n at (213) S xty days aft that you ha	74-3268 between sentence is in	en 8:00 a.m. (nposed and yo end such hear	and our claim
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ALL STATE OF THE STATE OF	ly yours.	· •	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	·		J. NIDORF obation Offi			
**	?		ms.		UR	
		Deputy	Probation O	fficer U		

458-5329

Telephone Number

35-1

Compensation

nc. - Information on Crime Victims'